Glannon Guide To Torts: Learning Torts Through Multiple-Choice Questions And Analysis, 2nd Edition
Synopsis

A concise, clear, effective review of Tort law topics organized around the theme of multiple-choice questions. The basic format follows the same structure established by the first title in the series, Joseph Glannon’s The Glannon Guide to Civil Procedure. The book includes brief explanatory text about the topic under discussion, followed by one or two multiple-choice questions. After each question, the author explains how the correct choice was chosen. Thus the student reviews course content and also learns how to analyze exam questions. Features: Integrates multiple-choice questions into a full-fledged review of the first-year Torts course Lead-up discussion of law in the text prepares students to learn effectively from subsequent questions Clear explanations of correct and incorrect answers help to clarify nuances in the law Multiple-choice questions are sophisticated but fair, neither too difficult nor unrealistically straightforward Equally useful to all students, regardless of whether they will be tested by multiple-choice questions on their exams Embodies a far more user-friendly and interactive approach than other exam preparation aids A more challenging final question in each chapter (the "Closer") illustrates a more sophisticated problem in the area under discussion "Closing Closer" questions in the last chapter provide practice and helpful review of concepts in earlier chapters, meant to test knowledge across the range of Torts issues Valuable exam-taking pointers interspersed within the substantive text New to the Second Edition: New material on "cybertrespass" and other Internet-related intentional tort Updated material on Supreme Court cases governing the preemption of state tort law claims Incorporation of material from the newly approved portions of the Restatement Third of Torts, Liability for Physical and Emotional Harm, including detailed treatment of the Third Restatement’s new approach to Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Updated material on the Supreme Court’s treatment of constitutional questions concerning the award of punitive damages Additional Closing Closers
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If you are looking to get acquainted with multiple choice for a torts exam, this book is great! In fact, there were several questions very similar to what was on my exam which really helped me. There is also a review on specific topics before you answer the questions which I found helpful which I added to my outline. Recommend to anyone studying for a torts exam. Assuming you study the material before the exam, you should be able to run through this book in about 2 days without a problem.

I purchased 5 supplements for my 1L Torts course (Fall+Spring). This book, The Glannon Guide to Torts is a concise version of E&E. As soon as my brain got fuzzy while reading cases, I referred to this book to figure out what was the point of all the madness. After the book gives you a short overview of the rule of law, it jumps into long multiple choice questions. It then provides explanations to the correct and the incorrect answer choices. The other book that I recommend is Emanuel CrunchTime Torts, which provides master outline and flow-charts. That is what I used the week before exams. The other books were no use to me (Gilbert Torts Law Summaries (too much irrelevant info.), E&E Torts (not relevant to exam and way too long to read throughout semester), and Tort Law Shapo (a hornbook, not on point enough). Other than the two I recommend, just read your cases and use google.

It was the only supplemental material that I bought during my 1L year, and it really cleared things up. This was way better than the E&E in my opinion (which I took out from the library on occasion). Other books of this nature usually end up being more cumbersome than your casebook. Not so in this instance, it's much more concise. It gives a great overview of all of the major concepts, and goes into depth where it needs to. There were a few spots where I felt it was a bit Restatement 3rd heavy (spots that my prof said that the courts haven't quite adopted yet). But all in all, great. Good use of hypos too.

I used this book along with a couple of other study aids for my midterm and have to say it was very helpful. It has the familiar, helpful layout of all the Glannon Guide series - the answer choices
highlight important doctrinal differences, and I found that they helped me wrap my head around some of the finer details of Torts. I currently have Professor Glannon for Civil Procedure, and this book fits his teaching style very well. All in all, a great tool!

Don’t let the title of this guide fool you. This book is not written or edited by Joe Glannon, and the quality of it reflects his lack of input. The multiple choice questions are written specifically to cater to the exact terminology of Hansen’s proceeding paragraphs to each question and do little to teach the reader anything substantive about tort law. 90% of the multiple choice questions present hypotheticals with answers that mirror the following: A) The court should reject the argument because of XB) The court should reject the argument because of YC) The court should accept the argument because of not XD) The court should accept the argument because of not YI understand that this may be a way that some law exam questions are written, but it’s useless when the entire book is written this way. On top of that, the wrong answers in this book are almost always so far out in left field that the correct answer can be identified without any critical thinking whatsoever. It’s like the questions are geared towards a fifth grader and are undoubtedly not useful to a law student. When two answers are close, Hansen chooses his “correct” answer on subjective judgments that pick and choose according to what he believes is substantive law, which again, renders this book useless to a law student. Do NOT buy this book. You will find that your time is better spent reviewing your instructor’s course material, or better yet, a book actually written by Glannon.

I use glannon guide as a “check” I don’t rely on it for information. The questions are quick and helpful but they are nothing like the final. It is a good book to use to make sure you are on the right track. If you want something that has a few multiple choice questions scattered through the reading this is for you. If you want more multiple choice questions that will reflect more what is on the test, go for Finz or Q & A.

I have all of the Glannon Guides and this one is the worst. I will give you an example. For Trespass to chattels it says "trespass to chattels requires proof of actual damage." on one page. On the very next page the author avers that "Choice D is incorrect. Though damage to the car is sufficient for the trespass to chattels, it is not necessary." Where I’m from the term "requires" is one the indicates a necessary condition, not a sufficient one. If you go with this book you don’t which it is.

I cannot reccomend this book enough! Great help, really helped me understand everything I needed
for my torts final. I got an A+ in the class! Get this book!!!